

Improving the Built Environment

Confidential BCE Doc 97/177 (M) Report on Tech-Dry Water

Confidential BCE Doc 97/177 (M)
Report on Tech-Dry Water Repellent Block Wall System to ASTM E514-90 'Standard Test
Method for Water Penetration and Leakage Through Masonry'
September 1997
By
B.L. Schafter and B. Budgen

Report on

Tech-Dry Water Repellent Block Wall System to ASTM E514-90 'Standard Test Method for Water Penetration and Leakage Through Masonry'

By Barry Schafer &
Bev Budgen

Bev Budgen September 1997

This document has been prepared for distribution to Tasco Bricks, Blocks & Pavers and is confidential. It may not be cited in any publication nor published in any form without written approval of the company and CSIRO. The contents may be subject to revision.

Please address all enquiries to: The Chief CSIRO Building, Construction and Engineering PO Box 56 HIGHETT VIC 3190

> COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH ORGANISATION BUILDING, CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERING REPORT FOR

Tech-Dry Water Repellent Block Wall System to ASTM E514-90 'Standard Test Method for Water Penetration and Leakage Through Masonry'

Product name Tech-Dry Water Repellent Block Wall System Application Tasco Brick Block & Pavers

26 Bass Highway Round Hill

Burnie, Tasmania

B L Schafer, B Budgen Officers Conducting Test Date 30.9.97

Report on Tech-Dry Water Repellent Block Wall System to ASTM E514-90 'Standard Test Method for Water Penetration and Leakage Through Masonry' By Barry L Schafer & Bev Budgen Officers of CSIRO BCE 9th September 1997

On Thursday 28 August, a wall built from nominal 200mm wide by 200mm high and 400mm long blocks was tested to 'ASTM E514-90' Standard Test Method for Water penetration and Leakage through Masonry' at CSIRO BCE, Highett site. The blocks were supplied by Tasco Bricks Block and Pavers of 26 Bass Highway, Burnie, Tasmania.

The wall was 2.5 blocks wide and 8 courses high (see Figure 1). The mortar was made to the following specification as set out in Tasco specification sheet, 'Specification Tech Dry Mortar' (January 1997).

The Tech Dry mortar is mixed at the rate of two (2) litre of additive to 20 litres of water. Cement ratio must be 1 to 3 using a 'fatty brickies sand'. Neither plasticisers nor lime should be added to the mix.

The wall had been constructed on the 9th of July 1997.

The test method applies water at a rate of 138 l/m and there is a positive pressure between the wet side and observation side of 500Pa. The ASTM method requires the test to last for a period of 4 hours. Figure 2 shows the test chamber attached to the wall and Figure 3 shows the inside of the chamber with the test in progress.

Note: 500 Pa equates to a base wind speed of about 33m/s or 120 km/h

for walls of low rise buildings and only subjected to a positive pressure coefficient.

Results

Within a few seconds of the pressure being applied a small damp spot appeared in the top left hadn perpend of the wall. This spot initially grew in size to 65mm then proceeded to retract. At the end of the 4 hour period it was 55 mm long.

At 2 hours, a second damp spot appeared in the fourth perpend from the base also at the left hand edge of the wall (see Figure 4). Some water was noticed in the cavity of one core on the web three courses down from the top. The amount of water at this location was initially enough to spill over the width of the web and drop further down the cavity (see Figure 5).

As the pressure box extended over the base of the wall onto the supporting channel, some water penetrated the jucntion between the channel and the wall. Water that penetrated this junction was not considered relevant to the test as the test was only meant to be on the masonry wall. The extension over the junction between the supporting channel and the wall was required as there were insufficient blocks supplied to build an additional course at the top of the wall which would have enabled the pressure box to be fitted to the wall only.

Discussion

At the end of the 4 hour test period, with the only two damp spots visible on the non-wet side of the wall, both of which were retracting, the wall could be considered as being an effective against wind driven rain. Whilst this is a qualitative test, it was noted that the water penetration at the two mortar joints would be approximately equal to an area of 0.04% of the wall after 4 hours.

As the two damp spots were retreating, the test was continued for a duration of 4 days. By 24 hours the two damp spots had retrated to half their initial size, and at the end of the four day period had completely disappeared (see Figure 6). This would indicate that the samll passage that wa allowing water to track to these two location homogenously healed during the test period.

Conclusion

Walls build with this system could be considered as waterproof against wind driven rain if they are free of cracking. It would be considered prudent to include weep holes to drain any water which may drain down the hollow cores of the block walls.











Improving the Built Environment

CONSOLIDATED TILING SERVICES

1 September 2000 Our Ref. EN 13/713

TEST REPORT No. 1716

Requested by: Tasco Brick Block & Pavers 10 August 2000 Manufacturer: Tasco Brick Block & Pavers Product Desc. Tech-dry Concrete Masonry Unit

Sampling details:

Where: Delivered

Date: 10August 2000

By whom: Courier

How (methods): N/A

The results reported relate only to the sample(s) tested.

No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless it is done under our own

supervision.

The reproduction of this test report is only authorised in the form of a complete photographic facsimile. Our written approval is necessary for any partial reproduction.

SUMMARY OF TESTS PERFORMED:

ASTM C1262-95 Standard Test Method for Evaluating the Freeze - Thaw Durability of Manufactured Concrete Masonry Units and Related

Concrete Units.

Tiles damaged after test: NIL **PASS**

CERLABS European Network of National Ceramic Laboratories -

Australian Member

Report No. 1716 DETERMINATION OF FROST RESISTANCE

TEST BASED ON ASTM C1262-95 TEST DATE: 1 September 2000 Standard Test Method for Evaluating the Freeze – Thaw Durability Manufactured Concrete Masonry Units and Related Concrete Units.

Number of Specimens

5

Bath

Fresh water

Compressive Strength not required

Defects before testing NIL

Date and Place 1 September 2000, Highett, Vic.

GEOFF QUICK STONE SCIENTIST **TECHNICIAN**

PETER WESTGATE **SENIOR LABORATORY**



Improving the Built Environment

CONSOLIDATED TILING SERVICES

21 November 2000

Our Ref. EN 13/713

TEST REPORT No. 1782

Requested by: Tasco Brick Block & Pavers

On: 21 November 2000

Manufacturer: Tasco Brick Block & Pavers

Product Desc. Tasco Tech-dry Concrete Masonry Unit

Tasco Tech-dry Mortar

Product size 110mm x 228mm x 75mm

Sampling details:

Where: Delivered

Date: 21 November 2000

By whom: Tasco

How (methods): N/A

The results reported relate only to the sample(s) tested.

No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless it is done under our own supervision.

The reproduction of this test report is only authorised in the form of a complete photographic facsimile. Our written approval is necessary for any partial reproduction.

SUMMARY OF TESTS PERFORMED:

Test based on AS 3700 - 1988

Flexural Strength by Bond Wrench Average: 0.449 MPa

Report No. 1782

Flexural Strength by Bond Wrench Test

TEST BASED ON AS 3700 - 1988

Samples received 15 November 2000 Test Date 21 November

Flexural Strength

Sample No.	Мра	
	1. 0.357	
	2. 0.260	
	3. 0.589	
	4. 0.426	
	5. 0.627	
	6. 0.371	
	7. 0.308	
	8. 0.445	
	9. 0.430	
	10.0.681	
Average		0.449

Interpretation of results: The Tasco Techdry Masonry Unit with Techdry Mortar show a close and continuous range for the Flexural Strength test . The average of 0.449 Mpa is considered a high result for masonry products.

Date and Place 21 November 2000, Highett, Vic.

BARRY SCHAFER PETER WESTGATE

APPRAISALS MANAGER SENIOR LABORATORY TECHNICIAN